## Circumventing Limitations to Transport Energy Efficiency – The Electric Car in two-car Households

paper ID 4-286-17

Sten Karlsson

Physical Resource Theory Department of Space, Earth and Environment Chalmers University of Technology Gothenburg, Sweden

Presentation of paper ID 4-286-17 at ECCEE, 29 May-3 June, 2017



ENERGY ACHALMERS

# Highlights

The driving in 2-car households makes it possible to on average

- achieve roughly **70% more BEV driving** (compared to 2<sup>nd</sup> car replacement only)
- while avoiding almost all unfulfilled driving (due to range and charging limitations)
- with a **smaller battery**
- => a present value of ≈**\$7000** (\$2000 \$11000)
- turn the BEV into an economically viable option in two-car HH (at estimated mass production costs)
- replace electricity for fuel competitively in car transport
- **increase** the **fuel replacement factor** (saved fuel/electricity input)





## **Further BEV deployment?**

- Low operational, but high investment cost (esp. battery)
- Range (and charge) limitations
- => earlier: BEV = city car, second car

#### Beyond early adopters (often fleet/company vehicles)?

- Private households with
  - many vehicles (may circumvent range limitations by choice of car)
  - Also commuting (high annual VKT to pay for high investment cost)
  - home charging option
  - money (mostly only new BEVs available)
- Have been early recognized, but lack of data



## **Study overview**







## **Car movements**







# **GPS logging of two-car households**

- HH in Gothenburg regions, random, 2 private cars
- my2002+, ≤ 2000kg, ≤200kW,
- $\leq$  65 yrs old,  $\geq$  2 "active" licences
- commuting 10+ km one-way



#### We have:

• both cars in 64 households, simultaneously ≈ 2 months, 2013-14











ENERGY ACHALMERS

# How much BEV driving is physically attainable?

- movement patterns
- car use strategy
- range and charging limitations





## How much is physically attainable for a BEV?

- 3 basic strategies
- without range and charge limitations!:





## How much is physically attainable for a BEV?

- 3 strategies
- without range and charge limitations!:





#### Potential BEV driving with range (at charging = 3 kW)

- Car2 -> Both\* : Around 70% increase of the potential BEV driving
- 33 -> 55 % of total driving or 11 000 -> **18 300 km/yr at 120 km range**
- $\approx \frac{3}{4}$  of the household hth-trips below range possibly reached by an BEV







## ..... combined with less unfulfilled driving!

- Car2 << Car1</li>
- Both\*: Possible to avoid almost all of the limitation (at current range and charging power)





## The value of the flexibility – economic optimization

• Maximize gain (vs range) in total cost of Ownership (TCO)

Composed of:		Assumed values	
+ Energy cost savings when driving electric	Electricity and fuel price	0.2 \$/kWh	
	Specific energy use	0.2 and 0.6 kWh/km	
<ul> <li>Battery investment costs         <ul> <li>(annuity 15%)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Battery specific marginal energy cost	300 \$/kWh	
<ul> <li>Cost for unfulfilled driving</li> </ul>	Extra cost for unfulfilled trips	50 \$/occasion	







## Present value of the flexibility in two-car households

• ≈ \$ 2000 - \$11 000 per household



Present value for individual households



### ... achieved with smaller optimal battery ranges

• Both\* < Car 2 < Car1 (on average)







### Battery ranges: optimal and for no unfulfilled driving

• No unfulfilled: Both\* << Car1, Car2







#### **Implications I:** BEV competitiveness?

- At mass production: BEV \$2000 cheaper than ICEV (for instance, ANL 2016)
- Battery: \$300/kWh
- $\Rightarrow$  30-50%  $\rightarrow$  100%
- Same compared to HEV (more fuel efficient but also more expensive)





## **Implications II: Fuel replacement**

#### Assumptions

- Direct energy for propulsion: fuel 0.6 and el 0.2 kWh/km
- Indirect fuel for electricity
  - totally dependent on el production: CO2 for BEV: 0 —> >100% of ICEV
  - Sweden: expansion due to **BEVs ≈ 0**
- Battery production a considerable life cycle energy cost (several LCI –studies)
- Difference BEV ICEV: due to battery (≈ 80%)
- Energy for battery production (CED) **300 kWh fuel/kWh battery** (next slide)



## **Energy for battery production**

- Top-down > bottom-up studies (Peters et al 2017)
- Almost all in the form of fuel, little electricity (Notter et al 2010)
- Cumulative energy demand (CED): ≈ 1 MJ/Wh = 278 kWh/kWh (Peters et al 2017)





### **Fuel replacement factor**

- FRF = saved fuel/electricity input
- The flexibility gives a marginally increasing fuel replacement factor (saves further fuel with a smaller battery)





ENERGY ACHAIMERS

# Highlights

The driving in 2-car households makes it possible to on average

- achieve roughly **70% more BEV driving** (compared to 2<sup>nd</sup> car replacement only)
- while avoiding almost all unfulfilled driving (due to range and charging limitations)
- with a **smaller battery**
- => a present value of ≈\$7000 (\$2000 \$11000)
- turn the **BEV into the economically viable option in two-car HH** (in Swedish context, compared to a CV/HEV, at estimated mass production costs)
- replace electricity for fuel competitively in car transport
- **increase** the **fuel replacement factor** (saved fuel/electricity input)





#### Thank you for your attention !

Sten Karlsson Physical Resource Theory Department of Space, Earth and Environment Chalmers University of Technology Gothenburg, Sweden sten.karlsson@chalmers.se

Also: Karlsson S, 2017. What are the value and implications of two-car households for the electric car? *Transportation Research Part C* 81, 1-17. (Open access article)



AREASTICE TRANSPORT

### A note: BEV cost current status vs mass production

Current Swedish prices (for same car but different powertrains)

	BEV – CV alt HEV	Price difference [USD] ([SEK])	Price difference w/o battery at 500/300 USD/kWh [USD]	Estimated price difference w/o battery at mass production [USD]	Current Swedish BEV subsidy [USD]
CV	VW: e-Golf (24 kWh) vs gasoline Golf 1.2 Bluemotion w aut. transm. (DSG)	22 500 (180 000)	10 500/15 300	-2 000	5 000
HEV	Hyundai Ionic ComfortEco: BEV (28 kWh) vs HEV	15 250 (122 000)	1 250/6 850	-5 000	5 000

"how much too expensive"VW Golf BEV:\$12-17000Hyundai Ionic BEV:\$6-12000



