

# Demand response in the service sector – setting course for energy flexibility and efficiency

Katharina Wohlfarth  
Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI  
Breslauer Straße 48  
DE-76139 Karlsruhe  
Germany  
katharina.wohlfarth@isi.fraunhofer.de

Marian Klobasa  
Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI  
Breslauer Straße 48  
DE-76139 Karlsruhe  
Germany  
marian.klobasa@isi.fraunhofer.de

Anke Eßer  
Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI  
Breslauer Straße 48  
DE-76139 Karlsruhe  
Germany  
anke.esser@isi.fraunhofer.de

Deniz Cöl  
Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI  
Breslauer Straße 48  
DE-76139 Karlsruhe  
Germany  
deniz.coel@isi.fraunhofer.de

## Keywords

demand side management (DSM), efficiency, policy recommendations, survey, building technology, load management, electricity, smart grid, demand response, service-sector

## Abstract

The increasing share of renewable energies in electricity generation is within the scope of the energy transition. Among other things, this will lead to a more volatile generation of electricity. A more flexible energy demand could contribute to handling the resulting challenges in electricity grid management. The service sector is one of the target groups for utilising flexible demand, also called demand response (DR). Supermarkets, hotels and office buildings can be found in regions throughout Germany. This could be an advantage regarding the compensation of grid instability in any region, compared to more locally focussed industrial enterprises. First estimations point out considerable unused DR potentials for the service sector. However, currently there is less knowledge about flexibility options in the service sector than in industry. Moreover, market barriers such as a missing adequate regulatory framework offering attractive incentives prevent that the potentials are tapped.

Therefore, in this paper, we first analyse survey data from 1,000 companies of the service sector in Germany to identify subsectors that have a high share of flexible cross-sectoral technologies in electricity consumption. Data indicates that the subsectors trade, restaurants and hotels as well as office-like buildings are the most promising subsectors of the service sector regarding demand response potential, since they have a high stock in flexible cooling appliances, air conditioning and ventilation. In a second step, we conducted stakeholder inter-

views, to find individual barriers in each of the identified subsectors as well as possible starting points and incentives. They indicate that framework conditions promoting demand response measures seem to be improving, e.g. technical standards and latest regulatory revisions. However, still unapt or missing regulatory framework conditions, low profitability and a lack of knowledge regarding flexibility potentials and marketing mechanisms seem to be the main barriers. This indicates that in order to tap the existing demand response potentials in the service sector, the value of flexibility in demand needs to be appreciated, e.g. by facilitating market participation or by creating offers rewarding the enterprises' flexibility of demand. In addition, addressing demand response issues in already existing policy measures such as energy audits could raise awareness and knowledge.

## Introduction

The German electric energy system is currently undergoing a major transformation and will most likely continue to do so until 2050. By 2050 the contribution of renewable energy sources shall have a share of 80 % in the electric energy mix (Deutscher Bundestag, 2010). Amongst the largest contributors will be wind and solar power. Due to the high dependency on weather conditions, electricity generation from those sources is volatile and hard to predict. As a consequence, major challenges for the German electricity grid regarding system stability and security of supply will arise.

System operators dispose of multiple measures to address the issues caused by volatile energy resources. The currently most common measures are redispatching conventional power

plants or to use balancing energy to offset the volatile generation from renewable energy sources. This does, however, result in higher costs for the operators of those power plants (Deutsche Energie Agentur (dena), 2015).

As the final goals are maintaining security of supply and at the same time having a highly efficient energy system, other options might become increasingly important in the future. One of those options is to adjust demand to the volatile electricity generation. This concept is usually called “Demand Side Management” (DSM). However, the term DSM is not always used consistently. Some concepts treat demand response or loadshift as synonymous. Other definitions use DSM-measures as a hypernym for related concepts, subsuming changes in the amount of energy use as well as changes in the time of use, and thereby summarize approaches to operate energy demand (Coward et al., 2003; Palenski and Dietrich, 2011; IEA, 2016). Following the latter, we refer to DSM as changes of the demand side of markets. The aim is to achieve a more efficient energy system (e.g. an overall lower need of electric energy production and thus also less adjustment by conventional power plants). We use the term demand response (DR) as one mechanism with which a single consumer can contribute to DSM by changing his consumption pattern “behind the meter”. It comprises changes in his consumption behaviour as well as the different use of technologies, i.e. the adjustment or shifting of loads of flexible electric applications at certain points of time. This means, DR is included in the term DSM and deals mostly with short-term adjustments in consumption.

The overall aim in DR or DSM for the energy system is to eventually avoid conventional balancing power plants and to support grid stability. The future substitution of conventional balancing power plants by DR balancing options is attractive in several ways: Avoiding power plants for balancing power additionally contributes to decreasing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by substituting fossil fuels (dena, 2010). Moreover, DR could be a more cost-efficient option to deal with the intermittency of renewable energies than storage options or reinforcing the grid infrastructure.

In order to evaluate the consumers’ DR potential, four different types of potentials should be distinguished (Rudolph and Wagner, 1997; von Roon and Grobmaier, 2010) – the *theoretical*, the *technical*, the *economic* and the *practical potential*. The *theoretical potential* can be considered as the most general potential, as it describes the summed load of all applications with eligible technologies for DR and therefore gives an upper limit for the DR potential. The *technical potential* considers additionally technical and operational restrictions reducing the available DR potential of the applications which includes, amongst others, load profiles, ramp up/down times, partial load restrictions or security regulations. In contrast to the technical potential the *economic potential* calculates the potential taking the economic viability of the DR options for the applications into account, considering investments, operational expenses and possible financial profits. The *practical potential* is highly dependent on subjective opinions and barriers like perceptive restrictions, knowledge and complexity of decision-making and therefore is also difficult to quantify in terms of the actual load available for DR compared to the other mentioned potentials.

## LITERATURE OVERVIEW AND RESEARCH QUESTIONS

In Europe, mainly the industrial sector has been in the scope of research on DR. Gils found in his research an average theoretical potential of 93 GW for load reduction across all sectors (industrial, tertiary, private) in Europe with main contributors being energy intensive industries (Gils, 2014). In the case of Germany, existing studies attribute a substantial technical potential to the energy intensive sector (see Table 1). This is mainly due to the sector-specific energy intensive processes (VDE, 2012; Klobasa, 2013). However, other studies additionally estimate that industrial cross-sectional technologies, such as compressed air, ventilation, pumps and cooling, have a high economic DR potential (2.4 GW of 3.5 GW total economic potential; dena, 2010). The main advantage of industrial DR processes is the ease of system integration and accessibility due to a high and easy to predict load demand of the individual processes (VDE, 2012). Yet, a large share of the industrial potential lies in within the production processes of the enterprises, which can be problematic to be modified (dena, 2010; VDE, 2012; Focken, 2011; Klobasa, 2013). Especially the fear of loss in product quality, disruption of continuous production processes and resulting financial losses are perceived as barriers (Olsthoorn, 2015). This increases the need of a potential exploitation of DR in other sectors.

Yet, since the tertiary/ service sector contributes to 29 % to the overall electricity consumption in Germany (2013), it can possibly take an important role for DR (AGEB, 2015). There are already some studies which identify viable technologies (Stadler, 2005; Gils, 2014) and estimate theoretical, technical and economic potentials via modelling (Klobasa, 2007; VDE, 2012; dena, 2010). Those studies give an idea of the extent of the DR-potential in the service sector (see Table 1). In particular, Dena (2010) shows that the tertiary sector can contribute a significant amount towards the future DR potential if the right economic incentives are set (dena, 2010).

According to Stadler (2005) or Klobasa (2007) the most promising and easiest to access technological application in the service sector is cold storage in the food-trading sector. Research has been done to address system integration and marketability (e.g. Grein, 2009; Focken, 2011). Other research focussed on potential barriers and enablers influencing the integration of DR into the energy system. A British study on the non-domestic sector (Element Energy Limited, 2012) found that the fear of negative impacts on service levels and missing financial incentives are two of the main barriers. These are comparable barriers as in industry, but compared to the industrial sector, there is probably greater lack of awareness of potentials and options. Additionally, arrangements for DR are complex and offers to access the market are missing. In Germany, many of the barriers to DR are on the regulatory side (Jahn, 2013). Regarding enablers Goldman et al. (2007) and Fin and Fitzpatrick (2014) analysed alternative electricity tariff models (e.g. time-of-use, critical peak pricing). In addition, education, information and case studies are perceived as propelling measures to promote DR (Element Energy Limited, 2012).

Thus, even though some studies on DR in the service sector do exist, practical and specific knowledge about DR integration of processes used in the service sector remains scarce. Unlike the industrial sector, the trade and service sector has been less explored regarding DR potential and there is less practical ex-

Table 1. Estimated demand response potentials by different studies.

Study	Estimated Maximum Potential		Potential type	Proj. Years/ Base	Notes	Sector
	Peak power (GW)	Flexible energy (TWh)				
VDE, 2012	4.5		Technical	2010–2030	Energy intensive industries	Industry
Dena, 2010	3.5	12.2	Economic	2020	Energy intensive industries and cross-sectional technologies	Industry
Klobasa, 2007	10.3	6.3	Theoretical	2004	Cross-sectional technologies	Service
VDE, 2012	1.4–1.8	5–9.7	Technical	2010–2030	Based on standard load profiles and 500,000 inhabitant model city	Service
Dena, 2010	1.6	5.7	Economic	2020	Roll-out with financial incentives	Service

perience in conducting DR measures. The main issues in this sector are the lack of extensive comparable data to evaluate DR and the heterogeneity of the operational fields. However, currently it is still not clear how to design these incentives to exploit the DR potential in the service sector.

Therefore, our study focuses on two main issues: First, the identification of the most promising subsectors of the service sector depending on electricity consumption and availability and the share of consumption of flexible technologies. Second, the analysis of the practicability of using the identified technologies in the selected subsectors by taking the enterprises' perspective into account. The aim is to determine specific starting points for measures and which requirements need to be given.

Our research focuses on the following questions:

- Which technologies and subsectors of the service and trade sector seem most promising for demand response?

In case of a promising sector:

- Which conditions and barriers affect the realisation of the potential?
- What can be done to tap them?

The final goal of this study is to identify and characterize those promising sectors and find the needed conditions, regulatory and non-regulatory, in order to gain access to the available DR potential.

## Regulatory framework and market conditions for DR in Germany

### OVERVIEW OF REGULATORY AND MARKET INSTRUMENTS

The DR potentials are evaluated taking into account the regulatory and market conditions in Germany. In Germany, flexible loads can be traded on the balancing as well as on the spot market. Moreover, transmission system operator and DR providers can reach an agreement on using the loads for congestion management under the Sheddable Load Act (Abschaltbare Lasten Verordnung – AbLaV, 2016). Moreover, the Electricity Access Charge Ordinance (StromNEV, 2005) currently constitutes a regulatory barrier for the use of the DR potential. In the

following, those marketing potentials and regulatory barriers are briefly discussed.

### Marketing of flexible loads on balancing and spot markets

Power plants as well as flexible consumer loads have to prequalify in order to access the balancing markets. The prequalification guarantees that they meet the minimum technical market requirements. In Germany, the balancing market is split into three submarkets, the primary, secondary and minutes reserve market. In the last few years, regulations have been adapted to facilitate market participation for smaller loads. Among other things, lower minimum bids and shorter bidding cycles have been introduced (cf. SEDC, 2015).

In the primary reserve market (or frequency containment reserve market) power plants and consumer loads which are available within 30 seconds can participate. The minimum bid size amounts to 1 MW. In the secondary reserve market (or automated frequency restoration reserve market) loads have to be available within 5 minutes. The minimum bid size amounts to 5 MW. To participate in the minutes reserve market (or manual frequency restoration reserve market) loads have to be available within 15 minutes. The minimum bid size in this market is 5 MW as well.

However, in the secondary as well as in the minutes reserve market smaller loads can be pooled, e.g. by third party market players such as aggregators. Currently, the main barrier to balancing market participation of DR is that there are no standardized processes and contracts for the settlements between aggregators, balancing group managers and suppliers. Yet, the German regulatory agency Bundesnetzagentur (BNetzA) and other relevant stakeholders are currently discussing further standardization (the “aggregator-model”), which suggests a standardized process, in particular for quantity balancing and financial compensation between aggregators and balancing group managers (cf. BNetzA, 2016).

On the spotmarket, too, flexible consumer loads can either participate directly or via aggregators with the same contractual difficulties as for the operative reserve market (cf. SEDC, 2015). Moreover, indirect participation is possible by negotiating flexible tariffs with the energy suppliers, who use the demand side flexibility within their portfolio optimisation. However, profits from participation in balancing as well as spot markets are cur-

rently rather low, e.g. because of overcapacities and low prices for primary energy (Eßer et al., 2016).

#### The Sheddable Loads Act

The AbLaV, issued in 2012 and revised in 2016, allows transmission system operators to advertise their needs for sheddable loads for balancing or redispatch. In total they tender 750 MW of immediately sheddable loads (reaction time within seconds) as well as of quickly available loads (max. 15 minutes reaction time). The AbLaV was revised in 2016, because participation was rather limited in the first four years after implementation. To increase participation, the size of minimum bids was reduced from 50 MW to 5 MW in 2016. Moreover, pooling of smaller loads as well as the participation of flexibility providers connected to the medium voltage grid were facilitated. Consequently the AbLaV is now accessible for smaller loads, e.g. from the service sector.

#### Restrictions for the marketing of DR through the Electricity Access Charge Ordinance

According to StromNEV (2005) electricity consumers can profit from lower grid fees in case of so-called special types of grid use. This is the case if either their maximum electricity purchase lies outside the high-load periods defined by the network operator (atypical grid use), or if electricity consumption exceeds 10 GWh within at least 7,000 utilization hours (intense grid use) (StromNEV§19, sec. 1 and 2). Since DR can result in higher peak loads or considerable load reductions, the DR supplier risks losing his grid fee privileges. Since this loss would be larger than possible avails through the marketing of DR, DR is unattractive for consumers currently benefitting from §19 StromNEV.

#### STATUS QUO OF DEMAND RESPONSE IN THE SERVICE SECTOR

In particular, large, energy intense enterprises or third party players participate in the above mentioned markets for flexible loads. In the balancing market, only 62 providers (regelleistung.net, 2016) are prequalified with 2500 MW of flexible consumer loads. Only 25 MW of consumer loads actually participate in the secondary balancing reserve market, while 160 MW participate in the minute reserve market. Most of the enterprises participating are from the industrial sector, e. g. aluminium or steel, operators of operating reserve pools and virtual power plants with decentralized generating capacities for consumer loads. Companies from the service sector have not been participating in this market so far (Weißbach, 2015).

This has several reasons: First, many flexible consumer loads from the service sector do not fulfil the preconditions for participation, such as minimum bid sizes. Pooling is an option, but regarding the current conditions, pooling of smaller loads is not beneficial of aggregators or DR-service providers (Eßer et al., 2016). As described in the section above, the effort resulting from the lack of standardization is too high compared to possible rewards. Thus, the balancing markets are not very attractive for flexible consumer loads from the service sector.

However, some large enterprises from this sector have recently started to participate in the spotmarket and have tried to minimize the energy costs using DR. Other DR-options are the minimization of peak loads or the classification for devices with atypical grid loads which results in lower grid fees for the customer.

Until 2016, the AbLaV only addressed larger consumer loads, which resulted in not more than seven contracts under that regulation (regelleistung.net, 2017). In interviews with the representative stakeholders of subsectors, the AbLaV was therefore repeatedly called to be “a hidden subsidy of energy-intensive industry” which indirectly excludes companies from other sectors from that option. Since the revision of the AbLaV opens the instrument for smaller sheddable loads, a participation of loads from the service sector might now be more attractive.

#### Methodological approach

Our methodological approach consists of two parts: the analyses of quantitative survey data and qualitative stakeholder interviews. Concerning survey data, we reanalyse data from a study on “Energy consumption of the tertiary sector (trade, commerce and services) in Germany” (Schlomann et al., 2015). The study contained a survey of approximately two thousand enterprises in the tertiary sector, including the typical subgroups: trade, commerce and services plus agriculture, small manufacturing enterprises and military. This allows for detailed analyses of the relevant subsectors. The distinction of the tertiary sector in industry (affecting esp. the distinction between manufacturing enterprises and industry) is done in analogy to the official energy balances of Germany (AGEB, 2014). As the focus is on energy consumption, the subsectors were composed based on structural similarity, grouping enterprises together which do not necessarily belong to the same NACE-codes (Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community; e.g. office-like enterprises including a large variety of objects of the enterprises on comparable structure). The survey was conducted in 2014. The questionnaire contained questions about companies’ characteristics, their buildings, energy consumption and determinants of energy consumption, such as energy carriers and technologies, and issues of energy management (for more details cp. Schlomann et al., 2015). In our new analysis on the DR potential, we focus on data regarding energy consumption of subsectors, availability of technologies with flexibility potential and the energy balances for applications. The relevance of the share of one subsector compared to the whole sector and the availability and share of demand which is caused by flexible technologies is our decision criteria indicating promising subsectors for DR.

Having identified the most important subsectors, we contribute qualitative data of interviews with stakeholders to examine framework conditions, possible barriers and practical starting points to tap the DR potential. The decision to use qualitative data was made, because our interest lay especially in the sensitivities of each subsector and the nuts-and-bolts of feasibility. Those can hardly be expressed in numbers for loads or energy, but strongly affect the actual, practical potential and should therefore necessarily be taken into account for practical issues.

The selection of stakeholders and main issues of the interviews were discussed within our research group. We interviewed at least one stakeholder for each of the identified promising subsectors and representatives for more super ordinate stakeholders like aggregators, consultants or energy service providers (Table 2).

Our interviewed stakeholders represent a larger number of enterprises for our selected subsectors. It was not our aim to as-

Table 2. Selected stakeholders for the interviews.

Scope	Stakeholder	Organisation of interviewee
superordinate	aggregator	EnerNOC
	energy services	Next Kraftwerke (Virtual power plant operator) Beegy (energy service provider) EnBW (energy provider south Germany) Tübingen SW (Local communal energy provider) EnQS (consulting, public services on energy management) Transnet BW (TSO south-west Germany)
	technology suppliers	Sicotronic (load management solutions for hotels/ restaurants)
	regulator	BNetzA (Bundesnetzagentur, German regulator for electricity grids/ networks)
subsectors	retail trade	HDE (German association of retail trade enterprises) EHI (Research institute for retail trade)
	hotels/restaurants	Adelphi (project management; managing energy section for the German hotel and gastronomy association DEHOGA)
	office-like enterprises	PWC (consulting enterprise with own energy management section) Vollack (project management and planning office for builders)

certain a statistical relevant number of interviews, but to interview the relevant representatives for our topic. Thus, we received individual perspectives of the topic. However, those perspectives converged into a coherent overview of the situation for DR in the service sector, giving us the basis for further in-depth studies of promising subsectors for DR.

The interview guideline was separated into three main parts: an introductory part where the aim of the study was explained and the interviewee introduced himself, a second part with questions about the availability of DR options or previous related experiences and a last part focusing on barriers and drivers influencing the chances to implement DR measures. We designed the guideline in a semi-structured way to give the interviewees the chance to add enhancing comments and issues we did possibly not anticipate while designing the survey. All calls were intended more as discussions than interrogations to gain deeper insights into points influencing the interviewee's attitude and way of decision making. The interviews were conducted via telephone in the second half of 2016 and usually took about one hour. The analysis of the interview data was done using the recordings by transcribing them and categorizing the statements using a classification scheme for the main issues: electricity consumption and energy management, flexible technologies and options for DR, drivers and barriers towards DR. For our evaluation, we distinguished between general and sector-specific findings.

## Results

### IDENTIFYING FLEXIBLE TECHNOLOGIES AND PROMISING SUBSECTORS IN THE SERVICE SECTOR BASED ON SURVEY DATA

The selection of subsectors of interest for DR was done using two criteria: energy consumption of the sector and the availability of flexible technologies. We first introduce our selection of flexible technologies based on prior research. Then we analyse the balances for electricity consumption in the different subsectors to identify the most consuming ones and those with large shares of flexible demand.

### Identifying potentially flexible technologies within the subsectors

Regarding the technical potential, we consider especially appliances, which technically allow a certain flexibility of demand within the chosen subsectors. Our list of flexible technologies is summarized in Table 3, relying mainly on compilations of flexible technologies of Klobasa (2007), VDE (2012) and Gils (2014). We assessed those and only regarded electric appliances with a significant share in total load or consumption and whose load can flexibly be adjusted to some degree without influencing the core of the enterprises' business. Thus, we finally arrived at a list of technologies describing essentially appliances of cooling, heating and air conditioning (Table 3).

### IDENTIFYING PROMISING SUBSECTORS

To evaluate the most appropriate subsectors for DR, we then analyse the energy consumption of each subsector (Table 4) using the data assembled in the survey (Schlomann et al., 2015). The numbers on the electricity consumption of 2013 show that the subsectors office-like enterprises, retail trade and hotels, restaurants and homes consume by far the most electricity. Considering consumed electrical energy as an indicator, this suggests a high theoretical DR potential for those sectors. Together they account for more than 50 % of total electric energy consumption of the tertiary sector (70.5 TWh/a), while the rest is split up into smaller shares over a larger number of structurally different subsectors. This means in effect, that covering those three subsectors concurrently involves the largest parts of the sector. Having a more homogenous group of enterprises, sector-typical standards can be established more easily, compared to approaching more compartmentalized sectors.

The last column of Table 3 refers to electricity consuming appliances in the head row of Table 4. The sum of the consumption of flexible appliances for each subsector is calculated in the last column of Table 4, indicating the available theoretical flexibility potential. This value needs to be revised downwards, as not the whole consumption of an appliance is technically flexible. However, the numbers allow comparisons and show that regarding flexible technologies, our selected sectors still point out the largest potential compared to other subsectors.

Table 3. Flexible appliances in the service sector.

Type of appliance	Flexible appliance	Containing category from energy balances for appliances (cp. Table 4)
Cooling	cold storage	Process cold
	retail cooling	Process cold
	hotel/restaurant cooling	Process cold
AC/Ventilation	ventilation	Mechanical power
	air conditioner	Air conditioning
Warm water	electric water heating	Hot water
Room heating	storage heater	Space heating
	heat pump/ recirculation pump	Space heating

Table 4. Electricity consumption in tertiary sectors (including service) 2013 (Schlommann et al., 2015).

2013 Electricity consumption (TWh/a)	Lighting	Mech. power	Hot water	Other process heat	Process cold	AC	ICT	Space heating	Total	Sum of relevant shares
Construction industry	1.8	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3	3.8	0.9
Office-like enterprises	13.3	1.3	0.9	0.4	0.7	0.9	10.9	1.1	29.5	3.6
Small manufacturing enterprises	1.5	1.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	3.9	0.4
Retail trade	11.0	2.1	0.7	0.6	4.2	0.5	1.9	1.4	22.5	6.8
Hospitals	1.2	1.7	0.3	1.7	0.1	0.3	0.6	0.1	6.1	0.8
Schools	2.9	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	3.9	0.3
Baths	0.2	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
Hotels, restaurants, homes	5.3	4.8	1.3	2.1	2.5	0.2	1.0	1.4	18.5	5.4
Foodstuff (bakers, butchers, other)	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.3
Laundries	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0
Agriculture	1.1	1.8	0.5	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	4.3	1.3
Horticulture	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1
Airports	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.3	0.3
Textile, clothing, leather	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	1.1	0.2
Remaining groups*	1.1	7.9	0.1	0.4	2.9	0.0	4.1	0.2	16.8	3.3
Other	5.8	8.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.0	0.0	15.9	0.4
Total	46.7	32.5	5.0	6.2	11.0	2.7	21.1	5.4	130.6	24.1

\* Not covered by the questionnaire.

The findings are in line with Klobasa (2007): He argues that the most interesting appliances allowing DR are found predominantly in those sectors, viz. cooling, heating and air conditioning due to their function as energy storage.

The next sections focus on practicability of DR in our selected sectors, taking the stakeholder interviews into account.

#### EVALUATING FRAMEWORK CONDITIONS, BARRIERS AND OPTIONS TO TAP THE DR POTENTIAL IN THE SERVICE SECTOR

The basis of our evaluation, which considers practical issues when implementing DR measures, are the interviews conducted with stakeholders for DR in the service sector. If appropriate, findings from literature are added. We focus on the specific is-

ssues of each subsector after giving an overview of general findings for all considered subsectors.

#### General findings applying for all subsectors

All interviewed stakeholders agreed with the general relevance of DR for the efficiency of the future energy system and its gains for sustainability. In respect thereof, they did not perceive conflicts between pursuing concepts of energy efficiency vs. DR, but pointed out the advantages: Regarding system level, DR with the aim that demand follows generation is seen as a way of contributing to energy efficiency. On the level of the enterprise, knowledge acquired about energy issues by dealing with energy efficiency measures and possibly

installed technologies to control the demand of appliances can promote the implementation of DR. With the necessary expertise, upgrading infrastructure to be DR-ready could be done with a little more effort in the course of refurbishments or if appliances are changed anyway. Such measures can comprise multiple benefits: E.g. insulating the building means energy savings and can also go hand in hand with higher comfort and larger potentials for DR as the building keeps temperatures better and can therefore function as energy storage. On the level of single appliances, DR can interfere with energy efficiency issues, especially if a flexible use means an appliance is operated inefficiently. Efficiency on the energy system level, however, does not necessarily mean to reduce consumption to a lower level, especially if (surplus) energy is used when it is available.

Usually appliances are replaced only in case of defect. Information is useful, because product labelling is often hard to understand, but then, the tendency to choose the well-known same as before often prevails. When making decisions, price, comfort, availability and demand usually outweigh energy efficiency aspects. Therefore optimization is usually possible, but stays unnoticed or is seen as a barrier. The issue of comfort is mentioned often by the stakeholders for subsectors but deemed overrated by other experts. In this regard, our interviewee for technology supply simply poses the question: "How long would it take you to recognise that the AC is not working properly?" Regarding barriers, preservation of quality of work and goods, financial risks of DR, data security, safety regulations and missing knowledge about DR were also mentioned.

The interviewees assume that knowledge about energy issues and the availability of control technologies for applications will increase within the next few years, which simultaneously advances options for DR: control systems for energy consumption are considered to be state of the art in modern facility management and will diffuse with newly constructed buildings. Additionally, by article 8 of the EED (energy efficiency directive), large enterprises have been obliged (and small and medium sized enterprises encouraged) to conduct mandatory energy audits over a four years cycle, starting 5th December 2015. Alternatively, a certified EMS can be approved. These actions are meant to increase the involvement of enterprises regarding energy efficiency issues and will support the generation of knowledge and the introduction of management systems.

According to the stakeholders the enterprises of the subsectors selected for our analysis usually have more experience with energy efficiency issues than with DR. Neither are there any regulatory requirements yet or the companies to provide DR, nor do service providers or energy suppliers approach the service sector with products focussing on DR. However, most companies know about their typically largest electricity consumers. Opportunities for DR are predominantly seen in larger enterprises, as only in those the load level and the electricity costs are high enough to raise awareness of this topic. Moreover, some companies already have energy management systems (EMS) available. Those companies might also have energy managers or personal capacities to organize direct participation at the spot-market, but only if adequate profits can be expected.

To subsume, changes in regulations, energy management and technological developments can become door-openers for DR. At the moment, the existing markets for DR are still inaccessi-

ble or not profitable. To tap the existing DR-potential, offers and contracts need to be established to raise interest in these issues.

#### **Office-like enterprises**

Our interviewees stated that for office-like businesses, DR is a non-issue so far. However, they also see potential for DR, e.g. in AC and ventilation or measures like using the building as energy storage. In that case, the building would for example be cooled down already over night in the summer time if overcapacities of energy are available; the energy is thereby stored in concrete ceilings. Habits of staff might interfere with DR measures, e.g. if AC is used for DR, people opening windows disturb the order of the system and leads to a restricted predictability of the loads. The interviewees especially pointed out that measures must not impair the comfort of the staff, because the quality of their work could suffer. In a way, they see the same problem as the manufacturing industry here, fearing a loss of product quality caused by DR measures. In line with their experiences from energy efficiency issues, they still expect that low hanging fruits for DR can be reaped without jeopardizing the acceptance of the staff. Knowledge and required technology seems to be easily accessible. But also awareness and the support of experts for the implementation of measures will be needed to assure the necessary trust and acceptance. In larger, modern office buildings, control technology is already available but often untapped for the purpose of optimizing energy consumption (efficiency as well as DR). In other cases, upgrades and retrofits to enable DR are considered as a possibility to implement DR-measures, but the required investments, make profitability a relevant barrier. However, there are fewer intrinsic incentives for DR than for energy efficiency measures. Thus, enterprises need to be approached by aggregators or suppliers with offers valuing their demand flexibility. The interviewees did not expect that the business owners in the offices would agree to hand over the control of appliances to somewhere outside the building. Thus, a starting point is seen in individual contracts with energy suppliers so that the dialogue and interaction are given and mutual appreciation can be preserved.

Offices need to be seen as a special case in comparison to our other selected subsectors, because it is no subsector in the strict sense. That is why there are no associations or other structures that organize those businesses to spread knowledge or to raise suchlike themes. Instead, flagship-projects for DR might function to raise awareness and prove feasibility.

#### **Retail trade**

DR-potential in the trade sector as a whole lies in ventilation and AC. Regarding food-retail, a larger potential can be attributed to cooling and cold storage appliances, where also a large share in electricity consumption is caused. Additionally, unique technologies for specific kinds of markets were mentioned, such as baking machines for baked goods in self-service markets or TVs in hifi-stores. In addition, the use of CHP and emergency generators was suggested as possible appliances for DR. Since in the trade-sector (esp. food), electricity costs are an important issue and the market potential of DR has been recognised, the usage of cooling and AC for DR is being evaluated among representatives of the subsector. Like this, DR options seem to be most actively taken into consideration within the trade sector compared to the other subsectors. Nevertheless,

they feel overlooked and discriminated against by regulatory conditions, especially compared to the industry sector. Until the revision of the AbLaV, taking advantage of that regulation was apparently meant for energy-intensive industry enterprises. Yet, pooling of loads is still difficult.

In practice, using cooling appliances for DR in retail stores is difficult, because it has to involve customer behaviour: If appliances are switched off for DR purposes, a constant temperature still has to be guaranteed to keep a constant cooling chain for the foodstuff. However, warm air is let into the cooling devices if customers open them to take out frozen food. This also means to take away the medium for stored energy (cold, in this case) which additionally decreases the profit margin of the frozen food.

Concerning AC, some companies try to combine and control flexible loads of several stores of a corporate chain. Generally, corporate chains are seen as favourable pioneers: only the head-quarter needs to be convinced, communication is easier as the single enterprises already have connected structures, purchases are centralized and measures could be rolled out for larger number of enterprises in one go. Above that, larger chains or enterprises often have regular phases of refurbishment or renovation when suchlike measures could be implemented and installation of control systems or change of appliances can be arranged. Monitoring systems and decentralized control systems are common, esp. in larger stores. However, there are yet few centralized automatic control systems, since data security is an issue there. Regarding the existing competition between the different corporate chains, pooling of loads over branches of one chain is regarded to be much easier than if rival companies are involved. Therefore, there might be inhibition thresholds towards other third parties as aggregators. Considering that most enterprises of the sector are branch stores of larger chains, the competition could be used to raise pressure to participate, e.g. if a flagship-project has been successful and raised the interest in DR. Yet, our interviewees assume, that the courage to run the risk is possibly missing.

#### Hotels, restaurants and homes

Enterprises from this sector usually have AC, ventilation and refrigerators as well as cooling devices available which can be used for DR. Above all, kitchens have high loads and electricity consumption, especially those including heating processes. Hence, enterprises with large kitchens usually have special contracts with their energy supplier. If they want to save costs by keeping that load under a defined level, they are equipped with an intelligent load management system controlling their kitchen devices. According to an example given by our interviewed technology supplier, large kitchens can have approximately 700 kW of connected load which causes peaks of about 500 kW. Using controlling systems, appliances can be rescheduled to reduce peak load to 300 kW. Accordingly, users of such controlling systems are already involved in using the flexibility of their energy consumption by internally avoiding peak loads. For that purpose, several appliances are coordinated and separately delayed to keep the absolute load low. For some appliances, only heating processes are delayed, while the appliance itself keeps running. For other DR purposes, other timings of appliances or control of single appliances would technically also be possible. A DIN standard has been developed, so theo-

retically all appliances with heat output could be taken into account. However, load shedding (in the sense of switching off appliances for a while) is not appropriate for cooking devices in kitchens, because when guests arrive and meals have to be prepared, the devices have to be ready on demand. Yet, devices like plate warmers or heating cabinets can be switched off for time intervals. Other appliances could work for DR even with gains in comfort: If ventilation can be controlled and e.g. down-regulated over night, noise is reduced for guests in their hotel rooms and energy is saved. An automated regulation of AC can be used for DR without losses in comfort when it is regulated within the target range of temperatures. The control of the appliance under these conditions is rather acceptable from outside of the enterprise. In that case, too, trust is a relevant issue.

Depending on the complexity and number of appliances, costs for intelligent load management installations amount to a four to five-digit euro range. Yet, according to our interviewed technology supplier, they usually pay off after a few years. An additional use of those management systems for DR applications could further decrease the payback period.

#### STARTING POINTS TO TAP THE DR POTENTIAL IN THE SERVICE SECTOR AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Having evaluated promising subsectors and technologies as well as specific conditions and status quos for the subsectors, we like to derive starting points to tap the open potentials for DR as well as first policy recommendations.

Regarding regulatory conditions, there are e.g. the access charges (StromNEV) which interfere with the concept of DR. The idea of that regulation reflects that energy generation up to today is characterized by constant loads and deviations need to be compensated by balancing loads. Energy sources of the future are more volatile, which makes the ideal of constant consumption obsolete. The access charges should change according to the changed conditions and that regulation should be redesigned: offering schedulable demand flexibility should be rewarded instead of permanence. A rising share of renewable energy generation might increase the value of DR and induce more attractive prices and easy accessible markets for flexible loads.

To improve the accessibility of markets in turn, lowering minimum bids for participation has currently been done, which should go hand in hand with an easier prequalification of appliances. Involving aggregators to facilitate offering DR also for smaller providers is still complicated and standardized processes and contracting are lacking. Possible improvements are under discussion and are needed to remove the barrier of unfavourable market conditions. Concerning market design, several interviewees suggested that the AbLaV could be integrated into the operating reserve market. The AbLaV and the operating reserve market seem to have the same purpose and are working in quite a similar way, while the AbLaV seemed to be semi-opened in the past, however, due to requirements is only accessible for industrial enterprises. A contribution of electricity consumers to DR can also indirectly be fostered by electricity providers by including more flexible tariff models. A real time pricing tariff can function as a way to participate in DR on a lower level, without the barrier of minimum bids. Time-of-use tariffs can be a lighter option where flexibility can be pre-scheduled. This option would not necessarily require an external control of appliances and

the tariff could be chosen with regard to the processes and consumption patterns of the enterprise.

Regarding the current situation, existing tools and regulations could be utilized to support the use of open DR potentials. Many enterprises dispose of management software or even control devices, which could be used or upgraded for DR purposes. Hence, getting started with DR might take lower investments than expected. This especially pertains to larger enterprises with relatively large potentials. The recast of EPBD (Energy Performance of Buildings Directive) on 30 November 2016 of the European Commission might be a necessary and logical step to integrate the readiness of buildings for DR into a regulatory framework. It introduces the “smart indicator” rating “the readiness of the building to adapt its operation to the needs of the occupant and of the grid, and to improve its performance” and reinforces the use of electronic building monitoring, automation and control. As we learned from our interviews, modern control technologies for facilities are becoming increasingly common and are standard in newly constructed buildings. Even now, existing technologies are barely used for considering DR potentials, partly because enterprises see no relevance or have no experience. Field studies and practical trials could raise awareness and inspire confidence in the functioning of DR concepts. Information can also be delivered within the scope of energy audits, which are mandatory now for large enterprises (EED Article 8, resp. revision of EDL-G in Germany) anyway. The consultation of DR potentials could easily be integrated and does not need to be restricted to energy saving potentials when data on energy consumption is already gathered and evaluated.

## Discussion

To identify our subsectors of interest, we used the electricity balances of appliances from the study of the tertiary sector. To define the most promising subgroups more clearly, the balances for appliances need to be on higher resolution, e.g. to differentiate between food and non-food retail trade. Using this data, we only know shares for categories of appliances, not for single types of flexible appliances. A calculation of the technical potential could be done more precisely with a bottom-up calculation based on such more detailed data.

We used energy consumption as an indicator to determine our sub-sectors of interest for the DR-potential. Other possible indicators could be peak demands to estimate the maximum of flexibility potential or load profiles to additionally take the time of availability into account.

Results regarding regulatory conditions are country-specific and therefore need to be considered individually for each country. Our results on sector specific issues and concerns related to special applications can largely be internationally transferred, because they are specific for applications or sectors, but not country-specific. Thus they can be used for practical considerations of DR potentials.

## Conclusions and outlook

In this article, we focused on promising subsectors of the service sector and their special given situation to tap DR potentials. We based our selection of subsectors on quantitative data, while the considerations of definite starting points to tap

the DR potential and opportunities for DR measures were approached by qualitative interview data. Integration of service sector's DR potential is not necessary or financially attractive at the moment, because backup power plants and DR offered by the energy intensive industries maintain the grid stability. Accordingly, our considerations are forward-looking under the premise that the stated aims of increasing shares of renewable energies will cause changes in the energy system and flexible demands will be of greater interest. First steps in regulatory changes have already been taken which will facilitate to touch the open potentials. Nevertheless, numbers are still hard to assess because prices for flexible loads under future circumstances cannot be foreseen yet. To learn more about quantified practical potentials, price sensitivity and willingness, data on a large number of enterprises has to be ascertained.

We concluded that the largest potentials for the subsectors of trade, restaurants, hotels and homes and office-like buildings are most promising. Concerning technologies, cooling appliances, air conditioning, ventilation, electrical hot water generation and room heating seem most suitable for DR-measures. All of them pertain to cross-cutting technologies in the service sector and are especially common in our selected sectors. In our interviews with stakeholders, we found out that all of our selected subsectors see options for DR to some degree and have taken steps which conduce to tap that potential. Usually, appliances with flexibility potential or technical preconditions are already available resp. control software for facility management becomes more and more common with the modernization of buildings. Hence, it seems that in the future, lesser financial effort is needed for the necessary technical equipment to realise DR. Regarding the fulfilment of the requirements and the profitability of measures, larger enterprises are usually better qualified than smaller ones. In any case, experiences with energy efficiency issues are seen as useful to get into DR and to find measures to start with. On the other hand, acceptance issues have to be addressed. Barriers like lack of awareness, having no persons in charge, financial issues, worries about quality, loss of work or products and regulatory circumstances were mentioned. Enterprises also might not want to hand over the control over appliances to external operators, due to concerns about data security.

Our next steps will include a quantitative survey in our selected sectors about DR potentials, available flexible appliances, experiences with DR, attitudes and organisational circumstances influencing DR opportunities. Furthermore, the construction of the survey will be based on the findings of this study. Hence, we would like to complement and deepen our findings with quantitative data from the perspective of enterprises in line for DR. The survey is intended to deliver data for estimations of the practical potential as well as improvements of the modelling of the future energy system including DR.

## References

- AbLaV (2016). Verordnung über Vereinbarungen zu abschaltbaren Lasten (Verordnung zu abschaltbaren Lasten – AbLaV). BGBl. I S. 1984.
- AGEB (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Energiebilanzen e.V.) (2014). Energiebilanzen der Bundesrepublik Deutschland 1990-2012 und Auswertungstabellen 1990-2013. Stand

- September 2014. DIW Berlin, EEFA, Köln. <http://www.ag-energiebilanzen.de>
- AGEB (Arbeitsgemeinschaft Energiebilanzen e.V.) (2015). Energy balance 2013. <http://www.ag-energiebilanzen.de/7-1-Energy-Balance-2000-to-2013.html>. Accessed 4/6/2016.
- BNetzA (2016). Vorschlag Aggregator-Modell. Available at: [https://www.bundesnetzagentur.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Sachgebiete/Energie/Unternehmen\\_Institutionen/VortraegeVeranstaltungen/Aggregator\\_Modell\\_606.pdf?sessionid=A1FA8885BF66EFB2B20E07CECA970813?\\_\\_blob=publicationFile&v=1](https://www.bundesnetzagentur.de/SharedDocs/Downloads/DE/Sachgebiete/Energie/Unternehmen_Institutionen/VortraegeVeranstaltungen/Aggregator_Modell_606.pdf?sessionid=A1FA8885BF66EFB2B20E07CECA970813?__blob=publicationFile&v=1). Retrieved 03.03.2017.
- Cowart et al (2003). Dimensions of Demand Response: Capturing Customer Based Resources in New England's Power Systems and Markets – Report and Recommendations of the New England Demand Response Initiative. The Regulatory Assistance Project.
- Deutsche Energie-Agentur GmbH (dena) (2005). Energie-wirtschaftliche Planung für die Netzintegration von Windenergie in Deutschland an Land und Offshore bis zum Jahr 2020. dena-Netzstudie I. Köln.
- Deutsche Energie-Agentur GmbH (dena) (2010). Integration erneuerbarer Energien in die deutsche Stromversorgung im Zeitraum 2015 – 2020 mit Ausblick auf 2025. dena-Netzstudie II. Berlin.
- Deutscher Bundestag (2010). Energiekonzept für eine umweltschonende, zuverlässige und bezahlbare Energieversorgung und 10-Punkte-Sofortprogramm – Monitoring und Zwischenbericht der Bundesregierung. Berlin (Drucksache 17/3049).
- Element Energy Limited, De Montfort University (2012). Demand side response in the non-domestic sector: Final report for Ofgem. Cambridge.
- Eßer, A., Haendel, M., Klobasa, M. (2016). Möglichkeiten für grenzüberschreitenden Handel mit lastseitigen Flexibilität in Deutschland, Frankreich, Schweiz und Österreich im Rahmen des Pilotprojekts Demand Side Management Baden-Württemberg. A study of the Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research ISI on behalf of the German Energy Agency (dena). To be published.
- European Commission (2016). Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Directive 2010/31/EU on the energy performance of buildings. Brussels, 30.11.2016. Available at: [http://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/1\\_en\\_act\\_part1\\_v10.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/1_en_act_part1_v10.pdf) retrieved: 06.03.17.
- Finn, P.; Fitzpatrick, C. (2014). Demand side management of industrial electricity consumption. Promoting the use of renewable energy through real-time pricing. In *Applied Energy* 113, pp. 11–21. DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.07.003.
- Focken, U.; Bümmerstedt, J.; Klobasa, M. (2011). Kurz- bis Mittelfristig realisierbare Marktpotenziale für die Anwendung von Demand Response im gewerblichen Sektor. Edited by energy meteo systems GmbH, Fraunhofer-Institut für System und Innovationsforschung (Fh ISI). Oldenburg, Karlsruhe.
- Gils, H. (2014). Assessment of the theoretical demand response potential in Europe. In *Energy* 67, pp. 1–18. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2014.02.019.
- Goldman, C.; Hopper, N.; Bharvirkar, R.; Neeman, B.; Capers, P. (2007). Estimating Demand Response Market Potential among Large Commercial and Industrial Customers. A Scoping Study. The work described in this report was funded by the Permitting, Siting and Analysis Division of the Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Edited by SciTech Connect. Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Berkeley, CA.
- Grein, A.; Pehnt, M.; Duscha, M.; Kellerbauer, H. (2009). Nutzung von thermischen Speichern als Energiespeicher. Edited by Bundesministerium für Umwelt, Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit (BMU).
- IEA (2016). Roles and Potentials of Flexible Consumers and Prosumers. Demand Flexibility in Households and Buildings. IEA DSM Task 17. IEA DSM Energy Efficiency. Available: <http://www.ieadsm.org/wp/files/IEA-DSM-Task-17-Subtask-10-role-and-potentials-2016-09-29.pdf>.
- Jahn, A.; Gottstein, M. (2013). Nachfragesteuerung im deutschen Stromsystem. die unerschlossene Ressource für die Versorgungssicherheit. Edited by The Regulatory Assistance Project (RAP). Berlin.
- Klobasa, M. (2007). Dynamische Simulation eines Lastmanagements und Integration von Windenergie in ein Elektrizitätsnetz auf Landesebene unter regelungstechnischen und Kostengesichtspunkten. doctoral thesis. ETH Zürich, Zürich.
- Klobasa, M.; Angerer, G.; Schleich, J.; Buber, T.; Gruber, A.; Marie, H.; von Roon, S. (2013). Lastmanagement als Beitrag zur Deckung des Spitzenlastbedarfs in Süddeutschland. Endbericht einer Studie von Fraunhofer ISI und der Forschungsgesellschaft für Energiewirtschaft. Agora Energiewende. Berlin, checked on 3/21/2016.
- Olsthoorn, M.; Schleich, J.; Klobasa, M. (2015). Barriers to electricity load shift in companies. A survey-based exploration of the end-user perspective. In *Energy Policy* 76, pp. 32–42. DOI: 10.1016/j.enpol.2014.11.015.
- Palensky, P., Dietrich, D. (2011). Demand Side Management: Demand Response, Intelligent Energy Systems, and Smart Load. IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON INDUSTRIAL INFORMATICS, VOL. 7, NO. 3, AUGUST 2011. 381–388.
- Regelleistung.net (2016). List of prequalified providers of operating reserve. Status of 14.12.16. Available at: <https://www.regelleistung.net/ext/download/anbieterliste>. Retrieved: 12.01.17.
- Regelleistung.net (2017). Sheddable loads – number of framework contracts. Available at: <https://www.regelleistung.net/ext/static/abla>. Retrieved: 12.01.17.
- Rudolph, M.; Wagner, U. (1997). Begriffe der Versorgungswirtschaft Teil D Energie Heft 1: Energiewirtschaftliche Grundbegriffe. VWEV Verlag. Frankfurt a. M.
- Schlomann, B., Wohlfarth, K., Kleeberger, H., Hardi, L., Geiger, B., Pich, A., Gruber, E., Gerspacher, A., Holländer, E., Roser, A. (2015). Energy consumption of the tertiary sector (trade, commerce and services) in Germany for the years 2011 to 2013: Final Report to the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWi). Karlsruhe, Munich, Nuremberg, February 2015.

- SEDC (2015). Mapping Demand Response in Europe 2015. Available at: <http://www.smartenergiedemand.eu/?p=6533>. Retrieved: 06.09.2016.
- Stadler, I. (2005). Nichtelektrische Speicher für Elektrizitätsversorgungssysteme mit hohem Anteil erneuerbarer Energien. Habilitation. Universität Kassel, Kassel. Elektrotechnik.
- StromNEV (2005). Verordnung über die Entgelte für den Zugang zu Elektrizitätsversorgungsnetzen (Stromnetzentgeltverordnung – StromNEV). BGBl. I S. 2225, zuletzt geändert am 22. Dezember 2016 BGBl. I S. 3106.
- Weißbach, T. (2015). DSM – Erfahrungen und Perspektiven aus Sicht eines Übertragungsnetzbetreibers, Transnet BW, Vortrag im Rahmen des Projekttreffens Demand Side Management in Baden Württemberg, Stuttgart, 14.12.2015.
- VDE Verband der Elektrotechnik Elektronik Informations-technik e.V. (Hrsg., 2012). Ein notwendiger Baustein: Demand Side Integration, Lastverschiebungspotenziale in Deutschland. ETG Task Force Demand Side Management. Juni 2012, Frankfurt a. M.
- von Roon, S.; Gobmaier, T. (2010). Demand Response in der Industrie. Status und Potenziale in Deutschland. München: Forschungsstelle für Energiewirtschaft e.V.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy for the support of the underlying project and the many stakeholders for their time and input, sharing their experiences and ideas with us.